City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 14.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2007

DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR:	: CITY ATTORNEY BRADFORD R. JERBIC	☐ Consent	⊠ Discussion
SUBJECT: NEW BILL:			
franchise to install service to consum of the Franchise A	- Grants to Nevada Power Company, a N I, operate and maintain an electrical distr ers within the City subject to and in acco agreement between the City and Nevada tor of Finance and Business Services	ibution system to provide rdance with the terms as	le electrical nd conditions
Fiscal Impact No Impact Budget Amount: Funding Source Dept./Division:	Funds Available e:	n Required	
pursuant to a non-2004 for an additi non-exclusive frame Franchise Agreem will pay the City approvisions of LVM RECOMMENDA	ompany, Inc. currently provides residents exclusive franchise issued to it by the Ci onal three-year term. The City proposes achise to Nevada Power, in accordance when incorporated in this bill. As consider annually five percent (5%) of its gross revIC 6.67.040.	ty, which agreement wa the issuance of a new ei with the terms and condit ation for this franchise, wenue in accordance wit	s renewed in ghteen-year ions of the Nevada Power h the
	e submitted to a Recommending Commit to the City Council for final action.	tee for review, nearing a	and
BACKUP DOCU 1. Bill No. 2006- 2. Franchise Agre	81 eement		
Passed For: 2; Ag	STEVEN D. ROSS to Approve as a Do P gainst: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Ex AN, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None)	ccused: 0	Not Vote-

Minutes:

None); (Excused-None)

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN declared the Public Hearing open.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 14.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 2, 2007

CHRIS WARE, Franchise Officer, highlighted the proposed agreement with Nevada Power and noted it had not been renegotiated since 1979. The term of the new agreement would be 18 years and kept coterminous with the franchise accounting to allow successors an opportunity to renegotiate with the County and Nevada Power. The franchise fees would remain at a five percent gross revenue which was nearly \$24 million last fiscal year.

The franchise agreement grants Nevada Power non-exclusive rights but rights to the City and other entities are preserved to provide electric service if the state of affairs changes.

The agreement requires permitting and restoration of public rights-of-way in addition to new provisions concerning the American with Disabilities Act. For example, if there were a pole in the middle of a sidewalk, the agreement would allow Nevada Power to conduct remedial work up to \$100,000.

There would be more specific and newer provisions to detail party's rights conerning the use of the City poles by Nevada Power. There are new provisions concerning third party attachments to Nevada Power poles, such as Embarq and/or Cox, and would prevent problems that have arisen in the past. Additional provisions would continue to allow the City to utilize Nevada Power poles for non-commercial purposes and would be safely oriented. There are new provisions that would also address historical relocation problems.

The deliquidated damages provisions in the agreement is broadened and would constitute nearly \$500 per day to cover any type of material violations while allowing Nevada Power to care for those issues. The agreement would continue standard indemnification clauses, increase standard insurance requirements, continue the \$500,000 insurance bonds and include a more specific auditing provision. Lastly, there is a provision about conservation whereby Nevada Power agrees to work with the City to meet greater energy efficiency and includes supporting the Green Building program.

VAL STEED, Chief Deputy City Attorney, added that the granting franchasie has an independent public hearing associated and more comments could be heard at that time. This meeting would not constitute all comments and is not a substitute for the formal public hearing to be scheduled.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, stated that it is probable that there would not be enough public comment. He inquired if the audit would be internal or independently conducted and by whom. MR. WARE stated that there is not a specific audit planned at this time and noted that the prior agreement did not have language specific to an audit. He commended EDGAR PATINA from Nevada Power for his professionalism while negotiating this agreement.

EDGAR PATINA suported the document and recommended approval

MR. McGOWAN expressed his appreciation and support.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN declared the Public Hearing closed.